

## SUBGROUPS QUALITATIVE RESPONSES FOLLOWING CONSULTATION

### Vision, Growth & Development, Sustainability

### ATTACHMENT C5

<i>Theme</i>	<i>no</i>	<i>Illustrative quote</i>
VISION		
No, lack of infrastructure for new homes	14	
Yes, but needs infrastructure / facilities / road improvements / do infrastructure first	8 + 8	
Protect market town character / development threatens market town character	Frequently mentioned	
Green Belt should be safeguarded / Oppose building on green belt round Epping	40 + 18	"The Green Belt should be protected at all costs"
Opposes social housing	1	
Concerned about ASB	1	
Traffic concerns	12 + 4	"Epping will no longer be a quiet market town"
Keep our town as it is	5	"Epping is a small market town – that is its beauty"
Insufficient doctors surgeries	2	
South Epping is wrong location for development:	12	"Poor site choice as noise and pollution will harm new residents and too dense"
Agree with the South Epping development	3	"If new housing has to be in Epping this is the best area"
Agree to keep new development in one location	1	
Too many houses planned for S Epping development	5	
Overdevelopment of Epping	19	
open up central line and make use of land at North Weald and Ongar	1	
Use more brownfield sites	3	
Too many houses in one area	7	
Need affordable housing	3	
Should plan town and infrastructure on a large scale eg Harlow and Gilston	1	
Housing too close to motorway	7	
Lack of new employment facilities means proposed development is too large	1	
Danger of merging into London	2	

## SUBGROUPS QUALITATIVE RESPONSES FOLLOWING CONSULTATION

### Vision, Growth & Development, Sustainability

### ATTACHMENT C5

Opposes destroying any area of forest land	1	
Use Tesco for housing and move Tesco to another location	1	
Proposals to build on car parks and sports centre are incorrect	2	
Access to South Epping sites is a problem / will cause congestion	4	
Epping Market should be kept	1	
Unrealistic to expect people to cycle from South Epping	3	
New housing will be too expensive for most people		
Concern about closed shops on High Street and mediocre restaurants	1	
St John's Road site should be residential with no loss to the Green Belt	1	
Vision inconsistent with NPPF paras 79, 80 and 87	1	
No facilities for country pursuits in the plan	1	
Keep High Street rents reasonable / rents too high	2	
Resinstate police station	3 and other mentions	
Protect existing residential sites		
SISTAINABILITY		
No of new homes makes sustainability impossible	1	
New houses will increase traffic jams	1	
New houses will increase carbon emissions	5	
What % of new families will have electric cars?	1	
Opposes electric car charging points	1	
More parking needed	2	
Not as important as quality of life	1	
Concerned about pollution from motorway	3	
Generally supportive of green issues	3	

## SUBGROUPS QUALITATIVE RESPONSES FOLLOWING CONSULTATION

### Vision, Growth & Development, Sustainability

### ATTACHMENT C5

Increased traffic means more emissions / pollution	3	
Road network at capacity	1	
What about solar panels?	1	
Need more sustainable drainage (Centre Drive, Brook Road and Stewards Green Road all mentioned)	5	Sewage also mentioned by 1 person
Choosing the South Epping site will increase car use compared to other potential locations	3	
Need better transport links rather than electric points	1	
Concern about cost of electric cars	3	
Electric car charging points not a priority	1 + others	
Can do more	2	
Consider a bypass –	2	“the new developments in North Weald and Epping will overwhelm the High Street”
Better footpaths and cycle lanes needed	1	
More motorways would mean more emissions	1	
No real need for sustainability measures	1	
Can't keep Epping green and have lots more houses, cars and pollution	1	
Get royal status: royal town of Epping Forest	1	
Most properties will still need 2+ parking spaces	1	
Don't forget bus routes – not everyone drives a car	1 + 1	
Do not cut proposed wildlife corridor between Epping and Coopersale	1	
Make town walkable / rideable	1	
Provide park and ride	2 + 1	
Energy efficient homes, solar panels grey water harvesting and more trees are important	1	
Improve and extend waterways	1	
Better cycling routes / facilities	2	
Car sharing	1	

## SUBGROUPS QUALITATIVE RESPONSES FOLLOWING CONSULTATION

### Vision, Growth & Development, Sustainability

### ATTACHMENT C5

This should be done anyway – not used to justify new houses	3	
---	---	--

#### Main Themes

- Lack of infrastructure
  - Amount of new housing not proportionate / too many homes in Epping compared to other areas
  - Impact on character of market town / Concern about Epping becoming a dormitory town / houses for people who won't work in Epping
  - Loss of Green Belt / Protect Green Belt
  - Traffic congestion – especially high street
  - Upgrade existing facilities first
  - Lack of detail about infrastructure proposals
- 
- Concern about increase in emissions
  - Should be